Impact of autumn snow cover anomalies on the following winter atmospheric dynamics estimated from the INMCM4.0 and INMCM5.0 models output

Yuliya Martynova (1,2)

(1) Institute of Monitoring Climatic and Ecological Systems SB RAS, Tomsk, Russia; (2) Siberian Regional Hydrometeorological Research Institute, Novosibirsk, Russia

FoxyJ13@gmail.com

Introduction

There are different studies of the influence of autumn snow cover anomalies on atmospheric dynamics in the following winter (e.g. Allen R.J. and Zender C.S., 2011; Martynova Yu.V. and Krupchatnikov V.N., 2010). The mechanism of this effect is complex and largely affects stratospheric processes (Cohen J.J. et al., 2007). The snow cover rapidly increases exceeding normal values. Emerged diabatic cooling results in pressure increase over and temperature decrease under the normal value. Thus, in troposphere upward energy flux increases, and then it is absorbed in stratosphere. Strong convergence ofwave activity flux causes geopotential heights increase, polar vortex slowdown and stratospheric temperature increase. Emerged geopotential and wind anomalies extend from stratosphere to troposphere up to surface. As a result, strong negative AO mode appears near the surface as air temperature increase (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Mechanism of the influence of autumn snow cover anomalies on atmospheric dynamics in the following winter (Cohen J.J. et al., 2007).

Siberia plays important role in this mechanism. Firstly, the most extensive snow cover is formed there. Secondly, according to NOAA satellite observations, this cover is generally formed in October (Figure 2) (Gong G. et al., 2003). As a result, Siberia is very interesting for investigations of the autumn snow cover influence on the atmospheric dynamics in the following winter.

This study is devoted to detection and estimation of described mechanism in INMCM4.0 and INMCM5.0 data. INMCM5.0 model represents further development of INMCM4.0 model (Volodin E.M. et al., 2010; Volodin E.M., 2014). They are different both from physical (various physical processes) and numerical (spatial resolution) points of view.

Results

1. It wasn't found explicit dependence between positive anomaly of snow cover in October and both negative AO mode appearance and surface temperature anomalies in all considered outputs of models.

2. The sign of the leading mode of EOF analysis of monthly mean 1000 hPa height during 1979-2005 period wasn't reproduced correctly in INMCM4.0 dataset (Figure 3.a). There is opposite situation in INMCM5.0 datasets. The sign is correct but maximum and minimum areas are shifted clockwise relative to the loading mode obtained by NOAA (Figure 3.b, 3.c, 3.d). Significant changes of wave activity (the intensification) in the case of snow anomalies are present only at altitudes above the boundary layer (above 850 hPa) for all considered datasets. In December, all models show increased activity only in the stratosphere. In January, INMCM4.0 and INMCM5.0-R128 (128 vertical levels during the simulation) demonstrate the beginning of wave anomalies propagation down to the troposphere. In February, all three models show the propagation down through troposphere.

Conclusion

It has been shown that the mechanism of the effect of positive snow cover anomalies in October on the atmospheric dynamics in following winter is not reproduced fully in all the considered outputs of models. In particular, it can be seen in the case of negative AO mode appearance at the surface. One of possible reasons may be a suppression of wave processes by turbulence in the boundary layer, However, at this stage of research, this assumption has not been tested.
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